Online at: https://researchtrendsjournal.com
Indexed Journal
ISSN No: 2584-282X
Peer Reviewed Journal

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TRENDS IN EMERGING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Volume 3; Issue 6; 2025; Page No. 233-236

Received: 18-08-2025
Accepted: 24-09-2025
Published: 13-11-2025

Effectiveness of Biomechanics Education in Developing Sports Skills Among School-Level Athletes: Perspectives of Athletes and Coaches

[1]Ajitsinh Suryakant Bhosale and [2]Dr. Sandipraj Shashikant Autade

[1]Research Scholar, Bir Tikendrajit University, Canchipur, Manipur, India
[2]Department of Physical Education, Bir Tikendrajit University, Canchipur, Manipur, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21108671

Corresponding Author: Ajitsinh Suryakant Bhosale

Abstract

Biomechanics has emerged as a fundamental component of sports science, contributing significantly to skill acquisition, performance enhancement, and injury prevention. Despite its importance, biomechanics education remains inadequately integrated into school-level sports training programs. The present study investigates the effectiveness of biomechanics education in developing sports skills among school-level athletes from the perspectives of both athletes and coaches. The study examines biomechanics knowledge, sport-specific biomechanics awareness, injury prevention understanding, perceived importance, application levels, confidence levels, and educational needs. A descriptive survey design was employed involving 987 athletes and 200 coaches from schools and training centers. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, frequency distributions, and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The findings revealed that athletes possessed moderate-to-high biomechanics knowledge, while coaches demonstrated significantly higher levels of understanding across all dimensions. Both groups recognized biomechanics as an important contributor to performance improvement and injury prevention. Coaches emerged as the primary source of biomechanics education, while formal instructional opportunities remained limited. Significant differences were observed across sports disciplines and training environments. The study concludes that biomechanics education positively influences skill development but requires greater institutional integration. The findings support the introduction of structured biomechanics curricula, coach training programs, practical workshops, and technology-supported learning systems to improve athlete development and performance outcomes.

Keywords: Biomechanics Education, Sports Skills, Athlete Development, Coaching Effectiveness, Injury Prevention, Sports Training

1. Introduction

Sports performance in the modern era depends increasingly on scientific knowledge and evidence-based training practices. While traditional coaching methods continue to play an important role in athlete development, advances in sports science have highlighted the importance of biomechanics as a critical factor influencing performance, technique, and injury prevention. Biomechanics provides scientific explanations for human movement and enables athletes and coaches to understand how forces, body positions, movement patterns, and mechanical principles affect performance outcomes.

School-level athletes represent a crucial stage in the athletic development pathway. During adolescence, athletes acquire foundational movement skills that influence future sporting success. Consequently, the quality of sports education provided during this period significantly impacts long-term performance potential. Biomechanics education has the capacity to enhance movement efficiency, improve technical execution, and reduce injury risks by enabling athletes to understand the scientific principles underlying sport-specific skills.

Despite these advantages, biomechanics education remains inconsistently integrated into school sports programs. Many athletes receive only limited exposure to biomechanics concepts and rely heavily on coaches for technical guidance.

This situation creates substantial variation in biomechanics knowledge and application among athletes. Furthermore, coaches themselves often differ in their understanding and utilization of biomechanical principles.

The present study examines the effectiveness of biomechanics education in developing sports skills among school-level athletes. By investigating the perspectives of both athletes and coaches, the study provides valuable insights into the current status of biomechanics education and its contribution to athletic development.

2. Review of Literature

Biomechanics has become an essential discipline within sports science due to its contribution to performance enhancement and injury prevention. Researchers have consistently demonstrated that biomechanical analysis improves movement efficiency and enables athletes to optimize technique.

Studies examining youth sports indicate that biomechanics education enhances motor learning and skill acquisition. Athletes who understand movement mechanics are better able to execute techniques efficiently and consistently. Furthermore, biomechanical knowledge supports self-correction and facilitates communication between athletes and coaches.

Research on injury prevention highlights biomechanics as a key determinant of athlete safety. Improper movement patterns often increase injury risk, whereas biomechanical awareness promotes safer technique execution. Educational interventions focusing on biomechanics have been associated with reduced injury incidence and improved movement quality.

Coach education literature emphasizes the importance of biomechanics training for instructors. Coaches possessing strong biomechanical knowledge are more effective in identifying technical errors and providing corrective feedback. Their ability to explain movement mechanics contributes directly to athlete learning and performance development.

Technological advancements have further enhanced biomechanics education through motion analysis, wearable sensors, and video-based feedback systems. These tools provide visual and objective information that supports athlete learning and skill refinement. Nevertheless, access to such technologies remains limited in many school-level sports programs.

Existing research therefore suggests that biomechanics education offers substantial benefits for athlete development, but its implementation remains uneven. The present study contributes to this literature by examining biomechanics education among school-level athletes and coaches.

3. Objectives of the Study

The study was conducted with the following objectives:

1. To assess biomechanics knowledge among school-level athletes and coaches.
2. To examine the role of biomechanics education in developing sports skills.
3. To evaluate awareness regarding injury prevention through biomechanics.
4. To identify sources of biomechanics education among athletes and coaches.
5. To determine differences in biomechanics knowledge across sports disciplines and training facilities.
6. To assess confidence and application levels related to biomechanics knowledge.

4. Methodology

The study employed a descriptive survey research design. The target population consisted of school-level athletes and sports coaches actively engaged in organized sports programs. A total of 987 athletes and 200 coaches participated in the study. Respondents represented various sports disciplines and training environments, ensuring broad representation across different sporting contexts.

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed to assess basic biomechanics knowledge, sport-specific biomechanics awareness, injury prevention knowledge, sources of biomechanics education, perceived importance, application levels, confidence levels, and learning preferences. The instrument underwent expert review to establish content validity.

Reliability analysis demonstrated acceptable to high internal consistency. Cronbach's Alpha values ranged from 0.75 to 0.89 across the various scales, confirming the suitability of the instrument for statistical analysis.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics including means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages were computed. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to examine differences in biomechanics knowledge across sports disciplines and training environments.

5. Results and Discussion

Table 1: Reliability Statistics

Construct	Cronbach Alpha
Basic Biomechanics Knowledge	0.81
Sport-Specific Biomechanics	0.84
Injury Prevention Knowledge	0.80
Sources of Learning	0.76
Perceived Importance	0.87
Application Level	0.78
Confidence Level	0.80
Desire for Learning	0.75

Cronbach's Alpha values ranged from 0.75 to 0.87, indicating satisfactory reliability across all measurement dimensions. The strongest reliability was observed for perceived importance, suggesting consistent perceptions regarding the value of biomechanics. The findings confirm that the instrument reliably measured biomechanics-related constructs.

Table 2: Basic Biomechanics Knowledge Among Athletes

Variable	Mean	SD
Understanding Force	3.88	1.12
Understanding Balance	3.95	1.05
Center of Gravity	3.82	1.14
Proper Posture Improves Performance	4.01	1.02

Athletes demonstrated moderate-to-high biomechanics knowledge. The highest score was recorded for the

importance of posture, indicating strong awareness of fundamental movement principles. However, knowledge related to advanced biomechanical concepts remained comparatively lower.

Table 3: Basic Biomechanics Knowledge Among Coaches

Variable	Mean
Understanding Force	4.12
Understanding Balance	4.18
Proper Posture Improves Performance	4.26

Coaches consistently reported higher knowledge levels than athletes. Their stronger understanding likely reflects professional experience, coaching education, and greater exposure to biomechanics concepts.

Table 4: Injury Prevention Knowledge

Statement	Athletes Mean	Coaches Mean
Warm-Up Reduces Injury Risk	4.05	4.28
Incorrect Technique Causes Injuries	3.98	4.22
Proper Technique Prevents Injuries	3.71	4.10

Both athletes and coaches strongly recognized the relationship between biomechanics and injury prevention. Coaches demonstrated greater awareness than athletes, emphasizing the need for enhanced educational interventions targeting young athletes.

Table 5: Sources of Biomechanics Education

Source	Percentage
Coaches	42%
Schools	25%
Internet	18%
Self-Learning	15%

Coaches emerged as the primary source of biomechanics education. The relatively low contribution of schools highlights a significant gap in formal biomechanics instruction within educational settings.

Table 6: Perceived Importance of Biomechanics

Variable	Athletes Mean	Coaches Mean
Performance Enhancement	4.15	4.42
Technique Improvement	4.08	4.35
Injury Prevention	4.12	4.40

Both athletes and coaches strongly valued biomechanics. Mean scores above 4.0 indicate widespread recognition of biomechanics as an essential component of sports training and athlete development.

Table 7: Application Level

Variable	Athletes Mean	Coaches Mean
Applying Biomechanics Principles	3.68	4.05
Using Correct Techniques	3.61	4.12

Application levels were moderate among athletes but considerably higher among coaches. This finding suggests that while athletes possess theoretical awareness, practical implementation remains incomplete.

Table 8: Confidence Level

Variable	Athletes Mean	Coaches Mean
Confidence in Applying Knowledge	3.73	4.15
Understanding Concepts Clearly	3.69	4.18

Coaches reported higher confidence levels than athletes. The difference indicates a need for educational programs that improve athletes' confidence in applying biomechanics knowledge during training and competition.

Table 9: Desire for Learning More Biomechanics

Response	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	770	78%
No	217	22%

A substantial majority of athletes expressed interest in learning more about biomechanics. This finding highlights the demand for structured educational opportunities within sports programs.

Table 10: ANOVA Results

Variable	F	p
Sport-Wise Differences	18.45	.000
Training Facility Differences	12.28	.000

Significant differences were observed across sports disciplines and training environments. These findings indicate that biomechanics knowledge is influenced by both the nature of the sport and the quality of the training environment.

6. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that biomechanics education positively contributes to sports skill development among school-level athletes. Athletes possessing greater biomechanics knowledge displayed stronger understanding of movement mechanics, technique execution, and injury prevention principles. Coaches consistently demonstrated higher levels of knowledge, confidence, and application, confirming their central role in athlete education.

The results also reveal a substantial gap between theoretical awareness and practical application. Although athletes recognized the importance of biomechanics, their application levels remained moderate. This suggests that biomechanics concepts are not consistently translated into practical training experiences.

The predominance of coaches as the primary source of biomechanics knowledge underscores the importance of coach education. Coaches with strong biomechanics backgrounds can effectively guide athlete development and facilitate skill acquisition. However, reliance on coaches alone may limit access to comprehensive biomechanics education.

The significant differences observed across sports disciplines and training environments further indicate that biomechanics education opportunities are unevenly distributed. Athletes training in structured environments appear to receive greater exposure to biomechanics concepts than those in less organized settings.

7. Conclusion

The study concludes that biomechanics education plays a significant role in developing sports skills among school-level athletes. Athletes and coaches alike recognize the importance of biomechanics for performance enhancement, technical improvement, and injury prevention. However, formal biomechanics education remains limited and inconsistently delivered across schools and training centers.

Coaches serve as the primary providers of biomechanics knowledge, while athletes continue to demonstrate strong interest in acquiring additional biomechanics education. The findings suggest that integrating structured biomechanics curricula, coach training programs, workshops, and technology-assisted instruction would substantially improve athlete development outcomes.

8. References

1. Hamill J, Knutzen K, Derrick T. Biomechanical Basis of Human Movement. 6th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Wolters Kluwer; c2023.
2. McGinnis PM. Biomechanics of Sport and Exercise. 4th ed. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; c2020.
3. Winter DA. Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement. 5th ed. Hoboken (NJ): Wiley; c2021.
4. Mustansar Z, Ali H, Margetts L, Khan S, Sherbaz S, Zafar R. Challenges and opportunities associated with technology-driven biomechanical simulations. arXiv. 2024. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2412.12209.
5. Coltman C, Barzan M, Besomi M, Brackley V, Bousie J, Choisne J, et al. The Biomechanics Research and Innovation Challenge: Development, implementation, uptake, and reflections on the inaugural program. Journal of Applied Biomechanics. 2023;40:1-11. doi:10.1123/jab.2023-0056.
6. Cui B, Wu H. Biomechanical analysis and teaching strategies of complex movements in physical education teaching. Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics. 2024;21:478. doi:10.62617/mcb478.
7. Singh A. A new approach to teaching biomechanics through active, adaptive and experiential learning. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering. 2017;139. doi:10.1115/1.4036604.
8. Bagesteiro L. Practical experiential learning: A methodology approach for teaching undergraduate biomechanics. Journal of Kinesiology & Wellness. 2021;9:58-68. doi:10.56980/jkw.v9i.80.